# Gauss's Law



# CHAPTER

- 24.1 Electric Flux
- 24.2 Gauss's Law
- 24.3 Application of Gauss's Law to Various Charge Distributions
- 24.4 Conductors in Electrostatic Equilibrium

In Chapter 23, we showed how to calculate the electric field due to a given charge

distribution by integrating over the distribution. In this chapter, we describe *Gauss's law* and an alternative procedure for calculating electric fields. Gauss's law is based on the inversesquare behavior of the electric force between point charges. Although Gauss's law is a direct consequence of Coulomb's law, it is more convenient for calculating the electric fields of highly symmetric charge distributions and makes it possible to deal with complicated problems using qualitative reasoning. As we show in this chapter, Gauss's law is important in understanding and verifying the properties of conductors in electrostatic equilibrium. In a tabletop plasma ball, the colorful lines emanating from the sphere give evidence of strong electric fields. Using Gauss's law, we show in this chapter that the electric field surrounding a uniformly charged sphere is identical to that of a point charge. (Steve Cole/Getty Images)


# 4.1 Electric Flux

The concept of electric field lines was described qualitatively in Chapter 23. We now treat electric field lines in a more quantitative way.


Consider an electric field that is uniform in both magnitude and direction as shown in Figure 24.1. The field lines penetrate a rectangular surface of area whose plane is oriented perpendicular to the field. Recall from Section 23.6 that the number of lines per unit area (in other words, the *line density*) is proportional to the magnitude of the electric field. Therefore, the total number of lines penetrat ing the surface is proportional to the product *EA*. This product of the magnitude of the electric field and surface area perpendicular to the field is called the **electric flux** (uppercase Greek letter phi):

**Figure 24.1** Field lines representing a uniform electric field penetrating a plane of area perpendicular to the field.

The number of field lines that go through the area  $A_{\perp}$  is the same as the number that go through area A.



**Figure 24.2** Field lines representing a uniform electric field penetrating an area *A* whose normal is at an angle  $\theta$  to the field.



**Figure 24.3** A small element of surface area  $\Delta A_i$  in an electric field.

Definition of electric flux

From the SI units of *E* and *A*, we see that  $\Phi_E$  has units of newton meters squared per coulomb (N · m<sup>2</sup>/C). Electric flux is proportional to the number of electric field lines penetrating some surface.

If the surface under consideration is not perpendicular to the field, the flux through it must be less than that given by Equation 24.1. Consider Figure 24.2, where the normal to the surface of area *A* is at an angle  $\theta$  to the uniform electric field. Notice that the number of lines that cross this area *A* is equal to the number of lines that cross the area  $A_{\perp}$ , which is a projection of area *A* onto a plane oriented perpendicular to the field. The area *A* is the product of the length and the width of the surface:  $A = \ell w$ . At the left edge of the figure, we see that the widths of the surfaces are related by  $w_{\perp} = w \cos \theta$ . The area  $A_{\perp}$  is given by  $A_{\perp} = \ell w_{\perp} = \ell w \cos \theta$  and we see that the two areas are related by  $A_{\perp} = A \cos \theta$ . Because the flux through *A* equals the flux through *A* is

$$\Phi_F = EA_{\perp} = EA\cos\theta \tag{24.2}$$

From this result, we see that the flux through a surface of fixed area A has a maximum value *EA* when the surface is perpendicular to the field (when the normal to the surface is parallel to the field, that is, when  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$  in Fig. 24.2); the flux is zero when the surface is parallel to the field (when the normal to the surface is perpendicular to the field, that is, when  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ).

In this discussion, the angle  $\theta$  is used to describe the orientation of the surface of area A. We can also interpret the angle as that between the electric field vector and the normal to the surface. In this case, the product  $E \cos \theta$  in Equation 24.2 is the component of the electric field perpendicular to the surface. The flux through the surface can then be written  $\Phi_E = (E \cos \theta)A = E_nA$ , where we use  $E_n$  as the component of the electric field normal to the surface.

We assumed a uniform electric field in the preceding discussion. In more general situations, the electric field may vary over a large surface. Therefore, the definition of flux given by Equation 24.2 has meaning only for a small element of area over which the field is approximately constant. Consider a general surface divided into a large number of small elements, each of area  $\Delta A_i$ . It is convenient to define a vector  $\Delta \vec{A}_i$  whose magnitude represents the area of the *i*th element of the large surface and whose direction is defined to be *perpendicular* to the surface element as shown in Figure 24.3. The electric field  $\vec{E}_i$  at the location of this element makes an angle  $\theta_i$  with the vector  $\Delta \vec{A}_i$ . The electric flux  $\Phi_{E,i}$  through this element is

$$\Phi_{E,i} = E_i \,\Delta A_i \,\cos \theta_i = \,\vec{\mathbf{E}}_i \cdot \Delta \vec{\mathbf{A}}_i$$

where we have used the definition of the scalar product of two vectors  $(\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} \equiv AB \cos \theta)$ ; see Chapter 7). Summing the contributions of all elements gives an approximation to the total flux through the surface:


$$\Phi_E \approx \sum \vec{\mathbf{E}}_i \cdot \Delta \vec{\mathbf{A}}_i$$

If the area of each element approaches zero, the number of elements approaches infinity and the sum is replaced by an integral. Therefore, the general definition of electric flux is

$$\Phi_E \equiv \int_{\text{surface}} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$$
(24.3)

Equation 24.3 is a *surface integral*, which means it must be evaluated over the surface in question. In general, the value of  $\Phi_E$  depends both on the field pattern and on the surface.

We are often interested in evaluating the flux through a *closed surface*, defined as a surface that divides space into an inside and an outside region so that one cannot move from one region to the other without crossing the surface. The surface of a sphere, for example, is a closed surface. By convention, if the area element in Equa-



**Figure 24.4** A closed surface in an electric field. The area vectors are, by convention, normal to the surface and point outward.

tion 24.3 is part of a closed surface, the direction of the area vector is chosen so that the vector points outward from the surface. If the area element is not part of a closed surface, the direction of the area vector is chosen so that the angle between the area vector and the electric field vector is less than or equal to  $90^{\circ}$ .

Consider the closed surface in Figure 24.4. The vectors  $\Delta \mathbf{A}_i$  point in different directions for the various surface elements, but for each element they are normal to the surface and point outward. At the element labeled ①, the field lines are crossing the surface from the inside to the outside and  $\theta < 90^\circ$ ; hence, the flux  $\Phi_{E,1} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{A}_1$  through this element is positive. For element ②, the field lines graze the surface (perpendicular to  $\Delta \mathbf{A}_2$ ); therefore,  $\theta = 90^\circ$  and the flux is zero. For elements such as ③, where the field lines are crossing the surface from outside to inside,  $180^\circ > \theta > 90^\circ$  and the flux is negative because  $\cos \theta$  is negative. The *net* flux through the surface is proportional to the net number of lines leaving the surface, where the net number means *the number of lines leaving the surface minus the number of lines are entering the surface.* If more lines are leaving than entering, the net flux is positive. If more lines are entering than leaving, the net flux is negative. Using the symbol  $\oint$  to represent an integral over a closed surface, we can write the net flux  $\Phi_E$  through a closed surface as

$$\Phi_E = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \oint E_n \, dA \tag{24.4}$$

where  $E_n$  represents the component of the electric field normal to the surface.

Ouick Quiz 24.1 Suppose a point charge is located at the center of a spheri-

- cal surface. The electric field at the surface of the sphere and the total flux
- through the sphere are determined. Now the radius of the sphere is halved.

What happens to the flux through the sphere and the magnitude of the electric field at the surface of the sphere? (a) The flux and field both increase. (b) The flux and field both decrease. (c) The flux increases, and the field decreases. (d) The flux decreases, and the field increases. (e) The flux remains the same, and the field increases. (f) The flux decreases, and the field remains the same.

# Example 24.1 Flux Through a Cube

Consider a uniform electric field  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  oriented in the *x* direction in empty space. A cube of edge length  $\ell$  is placed in the field, oriented as shown in Figure 24.5. Find the net electric flux through the surface of the cube.

# SOLUTION

**Conceptualize** Examine Figure 24.5 carefully. Notice that the electric field lines pass through two faces perpendicularly and are parallel to four other faces of the cube.

**Categorize** We evaluate the flux from its definition, so we categorize this example as a substitution problem.

The flux through four of the faces (③, ④, and the unnumbered faces) is zero because  $\vec{E}$  is parallel to the four faces and therefore perpendicular to  $d\vec{A}$  on these faces.


Write the integrals for the net flux through faces ① and ②:

For face  $(\mathbf{D}, \vec{\mathbf{E}} \text{ is constant and directed inward but } d\vec{\mathbf{A}}_1$  is directed outward ( $\theta = 180^\circ$ ). Find the flux through this face:

For face (2),  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is constant and outward and in the same direction as  $d\vec{\mathbf{A}}_2$  ( $\theta = 0^\circ$ ). Find the flux through this face:

Find the net flux by adding the flux over all six faces:

When the charge is at the center of the sphere, the electric field is everywhere normal to the surface and constant in magnitude.



**Figure 24.6** A spherical gaussian surface of radius *r* surrounding a positive point charge *q*.

# 24.2 Gauss's Law


In this section, we describe a general relationship between the net electric flux through a closed surface (often called a *gaussian surface*) and the charge enclosed by the surface. This relationship, known as *Gauss's law*, is of fundamental importance in the study of electric fields.

Consider a positive point charge q located at the center of a sphere of radius r as shown in Figure 24.6. From Equation 23.9, we know that the magnitude of the electric field everywhere on the surface of the sphere is  $E = k_e q/r^2$ . The field lines are directed radially outward and hence are perpendicular to the surface at every point on the surface. That is, at each surface point,  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is parallel to the vector  $\Delta \vec{\mathbf{A}}_i$  representing a local element of area  $\Delta A_i$  surrounding the surface point. Therefore,

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \Delta \vec{\mathbf{A}}_i = E \Delta A_i$$

and, from Equation 24.4, we find that the net flux through the gaussian surface is

$$\Phi_E = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \oint E \, dA = E \oint dA$$



**Figure 24.5** (Example 24.1) A closed surface in the shape of a cube in a uniform electric field oriented parallel to the *x* axis. Side is the bottom of the cube, and side is opposite side .

$$\Phi_E = \int_1 \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} + \int_2 \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$$
$$\int_1 \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \int_1 E(\cos 180^\circ) \, dA = -E \int_1 dA = -EA = -E\ell^2$$

$$\int_{2} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \int_{2} E(\cos 0^{\circ}) \, dA = E \int_{2} dA = +EA = E\ell^{2}$$

$$\Phi_E = -E\ell^2 + E\ell^2 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$

where we have moved *E* outside of the integral because, by symmetry, *E* is constant over the surface. The value of *E* is given by  $E = k_e q/r^2$ . Furthermore, because the surface is spherical,  $\oint dA = A = 4\pi r^2$ . Hence, the net flux through the gaussian surface is

$$\Phi_E = k_e \frac{q}{r^2} (4\pi r^2) = 4\pi k_e q$$

Recalling from Equation 23.3 that  $k_e = 1/4\pi\epsilon_0$ , we can write this equation in the form

$$\Phi_E = \frac{q}{\epsilon_0} \tag{24.5}$$


Equation 24.5 shows that the net flux through the spherical surface is proportional to the charge inside the surface. The flux is independent of the radius r because the area of the spherical surface is proportional to  $r^2$ , whereas the electric field is proportional to  $1/r^2$ . Therefore, in the product of area and electric field, the dependence on r cancels.

Now consider several closed surfaces surrounding a charge q as shown in Figure 24.7. Surface  $S_1$  is spherical, but surfaces  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  are not. From Equation 24.5, the flux that passes through  $S_1$  has the value  $q/\epsilon_0$ . As discussed in the preceding section, flux is proportional to the number of electric field lines passing through a surface. The construction shown in Figure 24.7 shows that the number of lines through  $S_1$  is equal to the number of lines through the nonspherical surfaces  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . Therefore,

the net flux through *any* closed surface surrounding a point charge *q* is given by  $q/\epsilon_0$  and is independent of the shape of that surface.

Now consider a point charge located *outside* a closed surface of arbitrary shape as shown in Figure 24.8. As can be seen from this construction, any electric field line entering the surface leaves the surface at another point. The number of electric field lines entering the surface equals the number leaving the surface. Therefore, the net electric flux through a closed surface that surrounds no charge is zero. Applying this result to Example 24.1, we see that the net flux through the cube is zero because there is no charge inside the cube.

Let's extend these arguments to two generalized cases: (1) that of many point charges and (2) that of a continuous distribution of charge. We once again use the superposition principle, which states that the electric field due to many charges is




**Figure 24.7** Closed surfaces of various shapes surrounding a positive charge.




#### Karl Friedrich Gauss

German mathematician and astronomer (1777–1855)

Gauss received a doctoral degree in mathematics from the University of Helmstedt in 1799. In addition to his work in electromagnetism, he made contributions to mathematics and science in number theory, statistics, non-Euclidean geometry, and cometary orbital mechanics. He was a founder of the German Magnetic Union, which studies the Earth's magnetic field on a continual basis.



Charge  $q_4$  does not contribute to the flux through any surface because it is outside all surfaces.



**Figure 24.9** The net electric flux through any closed surface depends only on the charge *inside* that surface. The net flux through surface S is  $q_1/\epsilon_0$ , the net flux through surface S' is  $(q_2 + q_3)/\epsilon_0$ , and the net flux through surface S'' is zero.

#### Pitfall Prevention 24.1

Zero Flux Is Not Zero Field In two situations, there is zero flux through a closed surface: either (1) there are no charged particles enclosed by the surface or (2) there are charged particles enclosed, but the net charge inside the surface is zero. For either situation, it is *incorrect* to conclude that the electric field on the surface is zero. Gauss's law states that the electric *flux* is proportional to the enclosed charge, not the electric *field*. the vector sum of the electric fields produced by the individual charges. Therefore, the flux through any closed surface can be expressed as

$$\oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \oint (\vec{\mathbf{E}}_1 + \vec{\mathbf{E}}_2 + \cdots) \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$$

where  $\mathbf{\acute{E}}$  is the total electric field at any point on the surface produced by the vector addition of the electric fields at that point due to the individual charges. Consider the system of charges shown in Figure 24.9. The surface *S* surrounds only one charge,  $q_1$ ; hence, the net flux through *S* is  $q_1/\epsilon_0$ . The flux through *S* due to charges  $q_2$ ,  $q_3$ , and  $q_4$  outside it is zero because each electric field line from these charges that enters *S* at one point leaves it at another. The surface *S'* surrounds charges  $q_2$  and  $q_3$ ; hence, the net flux through it is  $(q_2 + q_3)/\epsilon_0$ . Finally, the net flux through surface *S''* is zero because there is no charge inside this surface. That is, *all* the electric field lines that enter *S''* at one point leave at another. Charge  $q_4$  does not contribute to the net flux through any of the surfaces.

The mathematical form of **Gauss's law** is a generalization of what we have just described and states that the net flux through *any* closed surface is

$$\Phi_E = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{q_{\rm in}}{\epsilon_0}$$
(24.6)

where  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  represents the electric field at any point on the surface and  $q_{in}$  represents the net charge inside the surface.

When using Equation 24.6, you should note that although the charge  $q_{in}$  is the net charge inside the gaussian surface,  $\vec{E}$  represents the *total electric field*, which includes contributions from charges both inside and outside the surface.

In principle, Gauss's law can be solved for  $\vec{E}$  to determine the electric field due to a system of charges or a continuous distribution of charge. In practice, however, this type of solution is applicable only in a limited number of highly symmetric situations. In the next section, we use Gauss's law to evaluate the electric field for charge distributions that have spherical, cylindrical, or planar symmetry. If one chooses the gaussian surface surrounding the charge distribution carefully, the integral in Equation 24.6 can be simplified and the electric field determined.

uick Quiz 24.2 If the net flux through a gaussian surface is *zero*, the following four statements *could be true*. Which of the statements *must be true*? (a) There are no charges inside the surface. (b) The net charge inside the surface is zero.
(c) The electric field is zero everywhere on the surface. (d) The number of electric field lines entering the surface equals the number leaving the surface.

# Conceptual Example 24.2 Flux Due to a Point Charge

A spherical gaussian surface surrounds a point charge q. Describe what happens to the total flux through the surface if (A) the charge is tripled, (B) the radius of the sphere is doubled, (C) the surface is changed to a cube, and (D) the charge is moved to another location inside the surface.

# SOLUTION

(A) The flux through the surface is tripled because flux is proportional to the amount of charge inside the surface.

**(B)** The flux does not change because all electric field lines from the charge pass through the sphere, regardless of its radius.

(C) The flux does not change when the shape of the gaussian surface changes because all electric field lines from the charge pass through the surface, regardless of its shape.

(D) The flux does not change when the charge is moved to another location inside that surface because Gauss's law refers to the total charge enclosed, regardless of where the charge is located inside the surface.

# **24.3** Application of Gauss's Law to Various Charge Distributions

As mentioned earlier, Gauss's law is useful for determining electric fields when the charge distribution is highly symmetric. The following examples demonstrate ways of choosing the gaussian surface over which the surface integral given by Equation 24.6 can be simplified and the electric field determined. In choosing the surface, always take advantage of the symmetry of the charge distribution so that E can be removed from the integral. The goal in this type of calculation is to determine a surface for which each portion of the surface satisfies one or more of the following conditions:

- **1.** The value of the electric field can be argued by symmetry to be constant over the portion of the surface.
- 2. The dot product in Equation 24.6 can be expressed as a simple algebraic product E dA because  $\vec{E}$  and  $d\vec{A}$  are parallel.
- **3.** The dot product in Equation 24.6 is zero because  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  and  $d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  are perpendicular.
- 4. The electric field is zero over the portion of the surface.

Different portions of the gaussian surface can satisfy different conditions as long as every portion satisfies at least one condition. All four conditions are used in examples throughout the remainder of this chapter and will be identified by number. If the charge distribution does not have sufficient symmetry such that a gaussian surface that satisfies these conditions can be found, Gauss's law is still true, but is not useful for determining the electric field for that charge distribution.

# Pitfall Prevention 24.2

**Gaussian Surfaces Are Not Real** A gaussian surface is an imaginary surface you construct to satisfy the conditions listed here. It does not have to coincide with a physical surface in the situation.


# Example 24.3 A Spherically Symmetric Charge Distribution


An insulating solid sphere of radius *a* has a uniform volume charge density  $\rho$  and carries a total positive charge *Q* (Fig. 24.10).

(A) Calculate the magnitude of the electric field at a point outside the sphere.

# SOLUTION

**Conceptualize** Notice how this problem differs from our previous discussion of Gauss's law. The electric field due to point charges was discussed in Section 24.2. Now we are considering the electric field due to a distribution of charge. We found the field for various distributions of charge in Chapter 23 by integrating over the distribution. This example demonstrates a difference from our discussions in Chapter 23. In this chapter, we find the electric field using Gauss's law.





**Figure 24.10** (Example 24.3) A uniformly charged insulating sphere of radius a and total charge Q. In diagrams such as this one, the dotted line represents the intersection of the gaussian surface with the plane of the page.

has spherical symmetry and we can apply Gauss's law to find the electric field.

Analyze To reflect the spherical symmetry, let's choose a spherical gaussian surface of radius *r*, concentric with the sphere, as shown in Figure 24.10a. For this choice, condition (2) is satisfied everywhere on the surface and  $\vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = E \, dA$ .

# 24.3 continued

Replace  $\vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  in Gauss's law with *E* dA:

By symmetry, E has the same value everywhere on the surface, which satisfies condition (1), so we can remove E from the integral:

Solve for E:

# $\Phi_E = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \oint E \, dA = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0}$ $\oint E \, dA = E \oint dA = E(4\pi r^2) = \frac{Q}{\epsilon_0}$

(1) 
$$E = \frac{Q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} = k_e \frac{Q}{r^2}$$
 (for  $r > a$ )

**Finalize** This field is identical to that for a point charge. Therefore, **the electric field due to a uniformly charged sphere in the region external to the sphere is** *equivalent* **to that of a point charge located at the center of the sphere.** 

(B) Find the magnitude of the electric field at a point inside the sphere.

# SOLUTION

**Analyze** In this case, let's choose a spherical gaussian surface having radius r < a, concentric with the insulating sphere (Fig. 24.10b). Let V' be the volume of this smaller sphere. To apply Gauss's law in this situation, recognize that the charge  $q_{in}$  within the gaussian surface of volume V' is less than Q.

 $q_{\rm in} = \rho V' = \rho \left(\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3\right)$ 

 $\oint E \, dA = E \oint dA = E(4\pi r^2) = \frac{q_{\rm in}}{\epsilon}$ 

 $E = \frac{q_{\rm in}}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} = \frac{\rho(\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3)}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} = \frac{\rho}{3\epsilon_0} r$ 

(2)  $E = \frac{Q/\frac{4}{3}\pi a^3}{3(1/4\pi k)} r = k_e \frac{Q}{a^3} r \text{ (for } r < a)$ 

Calculate  $q_{in}$  by using  $q_{in} = \rho V'$ :

Notice that conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied everywhere on the gaussian surface in Figure 24.10b. Apply Gauss's law in the region r < a:

Solve for *E* and substitute for  $q_{in}$ :

Substitute  $\rho = Q/\frac{4}{3}\pi a^3$  and  $\epsilon_0 = 1/4\pi k_e$ :

**Finalize** This result for *E* differs from the one obtained in part (A). It shows that  $E \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, the result eliminates the problem that would exist at r = 0 if *E* varied as  $1/r^2$  inside the sphere as it does outside the sphere. That is, if  $E \propto 1/r^2$  for r < a, the field would be infinite at r = 0, which is physically impossible.

**WHAT IF?** Suppose the radial position r = a is approached from inside the sphere and from outside. Do we obtain the same value of the electric field from both directions?

**Answer** Equation (1) shows that the electric field approaches a value from the outside given by

$$E = \lim_{r \to a} \left( k_e \frac{Q}{r^2} \right) = k_e \frac{Q}{a^2}$$

From the inside, Equation (2) gives

$$E = \lim_{r \to a} \left( k_e \frac{Q}{a^3} r \right) = k_e \frac{Q}{a^3} a = k_e \frac{Q}{a^2}$$

Therefore, the value of the field is the same as the surface is approached from both directions. A plot of E versus r is shown in Figure 24.11. Notice that the magnitude of the field is continuous.

$$E = \frac{k_e Q}{a^3} r$$

$$E = \frac{k_e Q}{r^2}$$

$$r$$

**Figure 24.11** (Example 24.3) A plot of *E* versus *r* for a uniformly charged insulating sphere. The electric field inside the sphere (r < a) varies linearly with *r*. The field outside the sphere (r > a) is the same as that of a point charge *Q* located at r = 0.

# Example 24.4 A Cylindrically Symmetric Charge Distribution


Find the electric field a distance *r* from a line of positive charge of infinite length and constant charge per unit length  $\lambda$  (Fig. 24.12a).

# SOLUTION

**Conceptualize** The line of charge is *infinitely* long. Therefore, the field is the same at all points equidistant from the line, regardless of the vertical position of the point in Figure 24.12a. We expect the field to become weaker as we move farther away from the line of charge.

**Categorize** Because the charge is distributed uniformly along the line, the charge distribution has cylindrical symmetry and we can apply Gauss's law to find the electric field.

Analyze The symmetry of the charge distribution requires that  $\vec{E}$  be perpendicular to the line charge and



**Figure 24.12** (Example 24.4) (a) An infinite line of charge surrounded by a cylindrical gaussian surface concentric with the line. (b) An end view shows that the electric field at the cylindrical surface is constant in magnitude and perpendicular to the surface.

directed outward as shown in Figure 24.12b. To reflect the symmetry of the charge distribution, let's choose a cylindrical gaussian surface of radius *r* and length  $\ell$  that is coaxial with the line charge. For the curved part of this surface,  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is constant in magnitude and perpendicular to the surface at each point, satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Furthermore, the flux through the ends of the gaussian cylinder is zero because  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is parallel to these surfaces. That is the first application we have seen of condition (3).

We must take the surface integral in Gauss's law over the entire gaussian surface. Because  $\vec{E} \cdot d\vec{A}$  is zero for the flat ends of the cylinder, however, we restrict our attention to only the curved surface of the cylinder.

Apply Gauss's law and conditions (1) and (2) for the curved surface, noting that the total charge inside our gaussian surface is  $\lambda \ell$ :

Substitute the area  $A = 2\pi r \ell$  of the curved surface:

Solve for the magnitude of the electric field:

$$\Phi_E = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = E \oint dA = EA = \frac{q_{\rm in}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{\lambda \ell}{\epsilon_0}$$

$$E(2\pi r\ell) = \frac{\lambda\ell}{\epsilon}$$

 $E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} = 2k_e \frac{\lambda}{r}$  (24.7)

**Finalize** This result shows that the electric field due to a cylindrically symmetric charge distribution varies as 1/r, whereas the field external to a spherically symmetric charge distribution varies as  $1/r^2$ . Equation 24.7 can also be derived by direct integration over the charge distribution. (See Problem 44 in Chapter 23.)

#### WHAT IF? What if the line segment in this example were not infinitely long?

**Answer** If the line charge in this example were of finite length, the electric field would not be given by Equation 24.7. A finite line charge does not possess sufficient symmetry to make use of Gauss's law because the magnitude of the electric field is no longer constant over the surface of the gaussian cylinder: the field near the ends of the line would be different from that far from the ends. Therefore, condition (1) would not be satisfied in this situation. Furthermore,  $\vec{E}$  is not perpendicular to the cylindrical surface at all points: the field vectors near the ends would have a component parallel to the line. Therefore, condition (2) would not be satisfied. For points close to a finite line charge and far from the ends, Equation 24.7 gives a good approximation of the value of the field.

It is left for you to show (see Problem 33) that the electric field inside a uniformly charged rod of finite radius and infinite length is proportional to *r*.


# Example 24.5 A Plane of Charge

Find the electric field due to an infinite plane of positive charge with uniform surface charge density  $\sigma$ .

## SOLUTION

**Conceptualize** Notice that the plane of charge is *infinitely* large. Therefore, the electric field should be the same at all points equidistant from the plane. How would you expect the electric field to depend on the distance from the plane?

**Categorize** Because the charge is distributed uniformly on the plane, the charge distribution is symmetric; hence, we can use Gauss's law to find the electric field. **Analyze** By symmetry,  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  must be perpendicular to the plane at all points. The direction of  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is away from positive charges, indicating that the direction of  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  on one side of the plane must be opposite its direction on the other side as shown in Figure 24.13. A gaussian surface that reflects the symmetry is a small cylinder whose axis is perpendicular to the plane and whose ends each have an area A and are equidistant from the plane. Because  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is parallel to the curved surface of the cylinder—and therefore perpendicular to  $d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  at all points on this surface—



**Figure 24.13** (Example 24.5) A cylindrical gaussian surface penetrating an infinite plane of charge. The flux is *EA* through each end of the gaussian surface and zero through its curved surface.

condition (3) is satisfied and there is no contribution to the surface integral from this surface. For the flat ends of the cylinder, conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. The flux through each end of the cylinder is *EA*; hence, the total flux through the entire gaussian surface is just that through the ends,  $\Phi_E = 2EA$ .


Write Gauss's law for this surface, noting that the enclosed charge is  $q_{in} = \sigma A$ :


Solve for *E*:


**Finalize** Because the distance from each flat end of the cylinder to the plane does not appear in Equation 24.8, we conclude that  $E = \sigma/2\epsilon_0$  at *any* distance from the plane. That is, the field is uniform everywhere. Figure 24.14 shows this uniform field due to an infinite plane of charge, seen edge-on.


WHAT IF? Suppose two infinite planes of charge are parallel to each other, one positively charged and the other negatively charged. The surface charge densities of both planes are of the same magnitude. What does the electric field look like in this situation?

**Answer** We first addressed this configuration in the **What If?** section of Example 23.9. The electric fields due to the two planes add in the region between the planes, resulting in a uniform field of magnitude  $\sigma/\epsilon_0$ , and cancel elsewhere to give a field of zero. Figure 24.15 shows the field lines for such a configuration. This method is a practical way to achieve uniform electric fields with finite-sized planes placed close to each other.









The electric field lines between two infinite planes of charge, one positive and one negative. In practice, the field lines near the edges of finite-sized sheets of charge will curve outward.

# Conceptual Example 24.6 Don't Use Gauss's Law Here!

Explain why Gauss's law cannot be used to calculate the electric field near an electric dipole, a charged disk, or a triangle with a point charge at each corner.



# 24.6 continued

# SOLUTION

The charge distributions of all these configurations do not have sufficient symmetry to make the use of Gauss's law practical. We cannot find a closed surface surrounding any of these distributions for which all portions of the surface satisfy one or more of conditions (1) through (4) listed at the beginning of this section.

# 24.4 Conductors in Electrostatic Equilibrium

As we learned in Section 23.2, a good electrical conductor contains charges (electrons) that are not bound to any atom and therefore are free to move about within the material. When there is no net motion of charge within a conductor, the conductor is in **electrostatic equilibrium**. A conductor in electrostatic equilibrium has the following properties:

- **1.** The electric field is zero everywhere inside the conductor, whether the conductor is solid or hollow.
- **2.** If the conductor is isolated and carries a charge, the charge resides on its surface.
- **3.** The electric field at a point just outside a charged conductor is perpendicular to the surface of the conductor and has a magnitude  $\sigma/\epsilon_0$ , where  $\sigma$  is the surface charge density at that point.
- **4.** On an irregularly shaped conductor, the surface charge density is greatest at locations where the radius of curvature of the surface is smallest.

We verify the first three properties in the discussion that follows. The fourth property is presented here (but not verified until we have studied the appropriate material in Chapter 25) to provide a complete list of properties for conductors in electrostatic equilibrium.

We can understand the first property by considering a conducting slab placed in an external field  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  (Fig. 24.16). The electric field inside the conductor *must* be zero, assuming electrostatic equilibrium exists. If the field were not zero, free electrons in the conductor would experience an electric force ( $\vec{\mathbf{F}} = q\vec{\mathbf{E}}$ ) and would accelerate due to this force. This motion of electrons, however, would mean that the conductor is not in electrostatic equilibrium. Therefore, the existence of electrostatic equilibrium is consistent only with a zero field in the conductor.

Let's investigate how this zero field is accomplished. Before the external field is applied, free electrons are uniformly distributed throughout the conductor. When the external field is applied, the free electrons accelerate to the left in Figure 24.16, causing a plane of negative charge to accumulate on the left surface. The movement of electrons to the left results in a plane of positive charge on the right surface. These planes of charge create an additional electric field inside the conductor that opposes the external field. As the electrons move, the surface charge densities on the left and right surfaces increase until the magnitude of the internal field equals that of the external field, resulting in a net field of zero inside the conductor. The time it takes a good conductor to reach equilibrium is on the order of  $10^{-16}$  s, which for most purposes can be considered instantaneous.

If the conductor is hollow, the electric field inside the conductor is also zero, whether we consider points in the conductor or in the cavity within the conductor. The zero value of the electric field in the cavity is easiest to argue with the concept of electric potential, so we will address this issue in Section 25.6.

Gauss's law can be used to verify the second property of a conductor in electrostatic equilibrium. Figure 24.17 shows an arbitrarily shaped conductor. A gaussian

#### Properties of a conductor in electrostatic equilibrium




Figure 24.16 A conducting slab in an external electric field  $\vec{E}$ . The charges induced on the two surfaces of the slab produce an electric field that opposes the external field, giving a resultant field of zero inside the slab.



Figure 24.17 A conductor of arbitrary shape. The broken line represents a gaussian surface that can be just inside the conductor's surface.

surface is drawn inside the conductor and can be very close to the conductor's surface. As we have just shown, the electric field everywhere inside the conductor is zero when it is in electrostatic equilibrium. Therefore, the electric field must be zero at every point on the gaussian surface, in accordance with condition (4) in Section 24.3, and the net flux through this gaussian surface is zero. From this result and Gauss's law, we conclude that the net charge inside the gaussian surface is zero. Because there can be no net charge inside the gaussian surface (which is arbitrarily close to the conductor's surface), any net charge on the conductor must reside on its surface. Gauss's law does not indicate how this excess charge is distributed on the conductor's surface, only that it resides exclusively on the surface.

To verify the third property, let's begin with the perpendicularity of the field to the surface. If the field vector  $\vec{E}$  had a component parallel to the conductor's surface, free electrons would experience an electric force and move along the surface; in such a case, the conductor would not be in equilibrium. Therefore, the field vector must be perpendicular to the surface.

To determine the magnitude of the electric field, we use Gauss's law and draw a gaussian surface in the shape of a small cylinder whose end faces are parallel to the conductor's surface (Fig. 24.18). Part of the cylinder is just outside the conductor, and part is inside. The field is perpendicular to the conductor's surface from the condition of electrostatic equilibrium. Therefore, condition (3) in Section 24.3 is satisfied for the curved part of the cylindrical gaussian surface: there is no flux through this part of the gaussian surface because  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  is parallel to the surface. There is no flux through the flat face of the cylinder inside the conductor because here  $\vec{\mathbf{E}} = 0$ , which satisfies condition (4). Hence, the net flux through the gaussian surface is equal to that through only the flat face outside the conductor, where the field is perpendicular to the gaussian surface. Using conditions (1) and (2) for this face, the flux is *EA*, where *E* is the electric field just outside the conductor and *A* is the area of the cylinder's face. Applying Gauss's law to this surface gives

$$\Phi_E = \oint E \, dA = EA = \frac{q_{\rm in}}{\epsilon_0} = \frac{\sigma A}{\epsilon_0}$$


where we have used  $q_{in} = \sigma A$ . Solving for *E* gives for the electric field immediately outside a charged conductor:

$$E = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon_0} \tag{24.9}$$

uick Quiz 24.3 Your younger brother likes to rub his feet on the carpet and then touch you to give you a shock. While you are trying to escape the shock treatment, you discover a hollow metal cylinder in your basement, large enough to climb inside. In which of the following cases will you *not* be shocked? (a) You climb inside the cylinder, making contact with the inner surface, and your charged brother touches the outer metal surface. (b) Your charged brother is inside touching the inner metal surface and you are outside, touching the outer metal surface.
(c) Both of you are outside the cylinder, touching its outer metal surface but not touching each other directly.

# Example 24.7 A Sphere Inside a Spherical Shell

A solid insulating sphere of radius *a* carries a net positive charge Q uniformly distributed throughout its volume. A conducting spherical shell of inner radius *b* and outer radius *c* is concentric with the solid sphere and carries a net charge -2Q. Using Gauss's law, find the electric field in the regions labeled (1), (2), (3), and (4) in Figure 24.19 and the charge distribution on the shell when the entire system is in electrostatic equilibrium.



The flux through the

gaussian surface is EA.

# 24.7 continued

## SOLUTION

**Conceptualize** Notice how this problem differs from Example 24.3. The charged sphere in Figure 24.10 appears in Figure 24.19, but it is now surrounded by a shell carrying a charge -2Q. Think about how the presence of the shell will affect the electric field of the sphere.

**Categorize** The charge is distributed uniformly throughout the sphere, and we know that the charge on the conducting shell distributes itself uniformly on the surfaces. Therefore, the system has spherical symmetry and we can apply Gauss's law to find the electric field in the various regions.

**Analyze** In region 2 — between the surface of the solid sphere and the inner surface of the shell—we construct a spherical gaussian surface of radius *r*, where a < r < b, noting that the charge inside this surface is +Q (the charge on the solid sphere). Because of the spherical symmetry, the electric field lines must be directed radially outward and be constant in magnitude on the gaussian surface.

The charge on the conducting shell creates zero electric field in the region r < b, so the shell has no effect on the field in region ② due to the sphere. Therefore, write an expression for the field in region ③ as that due to the sphere from part (A) of Example 24.3:

Because the conducting shell creates zero field inside itself, it also has no effect on the field inside the sphere. Therefore, write an expression for the field in region ① as that due to the sphere from part (B) of Example 24.3:

In region (4), where r > c, construct a spherical gaussian surface; this surface surrounds a total charge  $q_{in} = Q + (-2Q) = -Q$ . Therefore, model the charge distribution as a sphere with charge -Q and write an expression for the field in region (4) from part (A) of Example 24.3:

In region ③, the electric field must be zero because the spherical shell is a conductor in equilibrium:

Construct a gaussian surface of radius *r* in region ③, where b < r < c, and note that  $q_{in}$  must be zero because  $E_3 = 0$ . Find the amount of charge  $q_{inner}$  on the inner surface of the shell:

$$E_2 = k_e \frac{Q}{r^2} \quad (\text{for } a < r < b)$$

$$E_1 = k_e \frac{Q}{a^3} r \quad (\text{for } r < a)$$

$$E_4 = -k_e \frac{Q}{r^2} \quad (\text{for } r > c)$$


$$E_3 = 0 \quad (\text{for } b < r < c)$$

$$q_{\rm in} = q_{\rm sphere} + q_{\rm inner}$$
$$q_{\rm inner} = q_{\rm in} - q_{\rm sphere} = 0 - Q = -Q$$

**Finalize** The charge on the inner surface of the spherical shell must be -Q to cancel the charge +Q on the solid sphere and give zero electric field in the material of the shell. Because the net charge on the shell is -2Q, its outer surface must carry a charge -Q.

WHAT IF? How would the results of this problem differ if the sphere were conducting instead of insulating?

**Answer** The only change would be in region ①, where r < a. Because there can be no charge inside a conductor in electrostatic equilibrium,  $q_{in} = 0$  for a gaussian surface of radius r < a; therefore, on the basis of Gauss's law and symmetry,  $E_1 = 0$ . In regions ②, ③, and ④, there would be no way to determine from observations of the electric field whether the sphere is conducting or insulating.



**Figure 24.19** (Example 24.7) An insulating sphere of radius *a* and carrying a charge *Q* surrounded by a conducting spherical shell carrying a charge -2Q.

# Summary

# Definition

**Electric flux** is proportional to the number of electric field lines that penetrate a surface. If the electric field is uniform and makes an angle  $\theta$  with the normal to a surface of area *A*, the electric flux through the surface is

Ċ

$$\Phi_E = EA\cos\theta \tag{24.2}$$

In general, the electric flux through a surface is

$$\Phi_E \equiv \int_{\text{surface}} \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}}$$
(24.3)

# **Concepts and Principles**

**Gauss's law** says that the net electric flux  $\Phi_E$  through any closed gaussian surface is equal to the *net* charge  $q_{in}$  inside the surface divided by  $\epsilon_0$ :

$$\Phi_{E} = \oint \vec{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{q_{\text{in}}}{\epsilon_{0}} \qquad (24.6)$$

Using Gauss's law, you can calculate the electric field due to various symmetric charge distributions.

# **Objective Questions**

A conductor in **electrostatic equilibrium** has the following properties:

- **1.** The electric field is zero everywhere inside the conductor, whether the conductor is solid or hollow.
- **2.** If the conductor is isolated and carries a charge, the charge resides on its surface.
- The electric field at a point just outside a charged conductor is perpendicular to the surface of the conductor and has a magnitude σ/ϵ<sub>0</sub>, where σ is the surface charge density at that point.
- **4.** On an irregularly shaped conductor, the surface charge density is greatest at locations where the radius of curvature of the surface is smallest.

1. denotes answer available in Student Solutions Manual/Study Guide

- A cubical gaussian surface surrounds a long, straight, charged filament that passes perpendicularly through two opposite faces. No other charges are nearby.
   (i) Over how many of the cube's faces is the electric field zero? (a) 0 (b) 2 (c) 4 (d) 6 (ii) Through how many of the cube's faces is the electric flux zero? Choose from the same possibilities as in part (i).
- **2.** A coaxial cable consists of a long, straight filament surrounded by a long, coaxial, cylindrical conducting shell. Assume charge Q is on the filament, zero net charge is on the shell, and the electric field is  $E_1\hat{i}$  at a particular point P midway between the filament and the inner surface of the shell. Next, you place the cable into a uniform external field  $-E\hat{i}$ . What is the *x* component of the electric field at P then? (a) 0 (b) between 0 and  $E_1$  (c)  $E_1$  (d) between 0 and  $-E_1$  (e)  $-E_1$
- **3.** In which of the following contexts can Gauss's law *not* be readily applied to find the electric field? (a) near a long, uniformly charged wire (b) above a large, uniformly charged plane (c) inside a uniformly charged ball (d) outside a uniformly charged sphere (e) Gauss's law can be readily applied to find the electric field in all these contexts.

- **4.** A particle with charge q is located inside a cubical gaussian surface. No other charges are nearby. (i) If the particle is at the center of the cube, what is the flux through each one of the faces of the cube? (a) 0 (b)  $q/2\epsilon_0$  (c)  $q/6\epsilon_0$  (d)  $q/8\epsilon_0$  (e) depends on the size of the cube (ii) If the particle can be moved to any point within the cube, what maximum value can the flux through one face approach? Choose from the same possibilities as in part (i).
- 5. Charges of 3.00 nC, -2.00 nC, -7.00 nC, and 1.00 nC are contained inside a rectangular box with length 1.00 m, width 2.00 m, and height 2.50 m. Outside the box are charges of 1.00 nC and 4.00 nC. What is the electric flux through the surface of the box? (a) 0 (b) -5.64 × 10<sup>2</sup> N ⋅ m<sup>2</sup>/C (c) -1.47 × 10<sup>3</sup> N ⋅ m<sup>2</sup>/C (d) 1.47 × 10<sup>3</sup> N ⋅ m<sup>2</sup>/C (e) 5.64 × 10<sup>2</sup> N ⋅ m<sup>2</sup>/C
- 6. A large, metallic, spherical shell has no net charge. It is supported on an insulating stand and has a small hole at the top. A small tack with charge Q is lowered on a silk thread through the hole into the interior of the shell. (i) What is the charge on the inner surface of the shell, (a) Q (b) Q/2 (c) 0 (d) -Q/2 or (e) -Q? Choose your answers to the following questions from

the same possibilities. (ii) What is the charge on the outer surface of the shell? (iii) The tack is now allowed to touch the interior surface of the shell. After this contact, what is the charge on the tack? (iv) What is the charge on the inner surface of the shell now? (v) What is the charge on the outer surface of the shell now?

- 7. Two solid spheres, both of radius 5 cm, carry identical total charges of 2  $\mu$ C. Sphere A is a good conductor. Sphere B is an insulator, and its charge is distributed uniformly throughout its volume. (i) How do the magnitudes of the electric fields they separately create at a radial distance of 6 cm compare? (a)  $E_A > E_B = 0$  (b)  $E_A > E_B > 0$  (c)  $E_A = E_B > 0$  (d)  $0 < E_A < E_B$  (e)  $0 = E_A < E_B$  (ii) How do the magnitudes of the electric fields they separately create at radius 4 cm compare? Choose from the same possibilities as in part (i).
- 8. A uniform electric field of 1.00 N/C is set up by a uniform distribution of charge in the *xy* plane. What is the electric field inside a metal ball placed 0.500 m above the *xy* plane? (a) 1.00 N/C (b) −1.00 N/C (c) 0 (d) 0.250 N/C (e) varies depending on the position inside the ball
- **9.** A solid insulating sphere of radius 5 cm carries electric charge uniformly distributed throughout its volume. Concentric with the sphere is a conducting spherical shell with no net charge as shown in Figure OQ24.9. The inner radius of the shell is 10 cm, and the outer radius is 15 cm. No other charges are nearby. (a) Rank

the magnitude of the electric field at points A (at radius 4 cm), B (radius 8 cm), C (radius 12 cm), and D (radius 16 cm) from largest to smallest. Display any cases of equality in your ranking. (b) Similarly rank the electric flux through concentric spherical surfaces through points A, B, C, and D.



- 10. A cubical gaussian surface is bisected by a large sheet of charge, parallel to its top and bottom faces. No other charges are nearby. (i) Over how many of the cube's faces is the electric field zero? (a) 0 (b) 2 (c) 4 (d) 6 (ii) Through how many of the cube's faces is the electric flux zero? Choose from the same possibilities as in part (i).
- **11.** Rank the electric fluxes through each gaussian surface shown in Figure OQ24.11 from largest to smallest. Display any cases of equality in your ranking.



**Conceptual Questions** 

1. denotes answer available in *Student Solutions Manual/Study Guide* 

- 1. Consider an electric field that is uniform in direction throughout a certain volume. Can it be uniform in magnitude? Must it be uniform in magnitude? Answer these questions (a) assuming the volume is filled with an insulating material carrying charge described by a volume charge density and (b) assuming the volume is empty space. State reasoning to prove your answers.
- 2. A cubical surface surrounds a point charge *q*. Describe what happens to the total flux through the surface if (a) the charge is doubled, (b) the volume of the cube is doubled, (c) the surface is changed to a sphere, (d) the charge is moved to another location inside the surface, and (e) the charge is moved outside the surface.
- **3.** A uniform electric field exists in a region of space containing no charges. What can you conclude about the net electric flux through a gaussian surface placed in this region of space?
- **4.** If the total charge inside a closed surface is known but the distribution of the charge is unspecified, can you use Gauss's law to find the electric field? Explain.
- **5.** Explain why the electric flux through a closed surface with a given enclosed charge is independent of the size or shape of the surface.

- **6.** If more electric field lines leave a gaussian surface than enter it, what can you conclude about the net charge enclosed by that surface?
- 7. A person is placed in a large, hollow, metallic sphere that is insulated from ground. (a) If a large charge is placed on the sphere, will the person be harmed upon touching the inside of the sphere? (b) Explain what will happen if the person also has an initial charge whose sign is opposite that of the charge on the sphere.
- 8. Consider two identical conducting spheres whose surfaces are separated by a small distance. One sphere is given a large net positive charge, and the other is given a small net positive charge. It is found that the force between the spheres is attractive even though they both have net charges of the same sign. Explain how this attraction is possible.
- **9.** A common demonstration involves charging a rubber balloon, which is an insulator, by rubbing it on your hair and then touching the balloon to a ceiling or wall, which is also an insulator. Because of the electrical attraction between the charged balloon and the neutral wall, the balloon sticks to the wall. Imagine now that we have two infinitely large, flat sheets of insulating

material. One is charged, and the other is neutral. If these sheets are brought into contact, does an attractive force exist between them as there was for the balloon and the wall?

**10.** On the basis of the repulsive nature of the force between like charges and the freedom of motion of

charge within a conductor, explain why excess charge on an isolated conductor must reside on its surface.

**11.** The Sun is lower in the sky during the winter than it is during the summer. (a) How does this change affect the flux of sunlight hitting a given area on the surface of the Earth? (b) How does this change affect the weather?

Problems	
WebAssign The problems found in this chapter may be assigned online in Enhanced WebAssign	AMT Analysis Model tutorial available in Enhanced WebAssign GP Guided Problem
<ol> <li>straightforward; 2. intermediate;</li> <li>challenging</li> </ol>	M Master It tutorial available in Enhanced WebAssign
1. full solution available in the <i>Student</i> Solutions Manual/Study Guide	W Watch It video solution available in Enhanced WebAssign

# Section 24.1 Electric Flux

- 1. A flat surface of area 3.20 m<sup>2</sup> is rotated in a uniform electric field of magnitude  $E = 6.20 \times 10^5$  N/C. Determine the electric flux through this area (a) when the electric field is perpendicular to the surface and (b) when the electric field is parallel to the surface.
- **2.** A vertical electric field of magnitude  $2.00 \times 10^4$  N/C
- w exists above the Earth's surface on a day when a thunderstorm is brewing. A car with a rectangular size of 6.00 m by 3.00 m is traveling along a dry gravel roadway sloping downward at 10.0°. Determine the electric flux through the bottom of the car.

A 40.0-cm-diameter circular loop is rotated in a uniform electric field until the position of maximum electric flux is found. The flux in this position is measured to be 5.20 × 10<sup>5</sup> N · m<sup>2</sup>/C. What is the magnitude of the electric field?

4. Consider a closed triangular box resting within a hori-W zontal electric field of magnitude  $E = 7.80 \times 10^4$  N/C as shown in Figure P24.4. Calculate the electric flux through (a) the vertical rectangular surface, (b) the slanted surface, and (c) the entire surface of the box.




Figure P24.4

5. An electric field of magnitude 3.50 kN/C is applied
M along the *x* axis. Calculate the electric flux through a rectangular plane 0.350 m wide and 0.700 m long (a) if the plane is parallel to the *yz* plane, (b) if the plane is parallel to the *xy* plane, and (c) if the plane contains the *y* axis and its normal makes an angle of 40.0° with the *x* axis.

6. A nonuniform electric field is given by the expression

$$\vec{\mathbf{E}} = ay\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + bz\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + cx\,\hat{\mathbf{l}}$$

where *a*, *b*, and *c* are constants. Determine the electric flux through a rectangular surface in the *xy* plane, extending from x = 0 to x = w and from y = 0 to y = h.

## Section 24.2 Gauss's Law

- 7. An uncharged, nonconducting, hollow sphere of radius 10.0 cm surrounds a  $10.0-\mu$ C charge located at the origin of a Cartesian coordinate system. A drill with a radius of 1.00 mm is aligned along the *z* axis, and a hole is drilled in the sphere. Calculate the electric flux through the hole.
- **8.** Find the net electric flux through the spherical closed surface shown in Figure P24.8. The two charges on the right are inside the spherical surface.



# Figure P24.8

- 9. The following charges are located inside a submarine:
  M 5.00 μC, -9.00 μC, 27.0 μC, and -84.0 μC. (a) Calculate the net electric flux through the hull of the submarine. (b) Is the number of electric field lines leaving the submarine greater than, equal to, or less than the number entering it?
- 10. The electric field everywhere on the surface of a w thin, spherical shell of radius 0.750 m is of magnitude 890 N/C and points radially toward the center of the sphere. (a) What is the net charge within the sphere's surface? (b) What is the distribution of the charge inside the spherical shell?

- 11. Four closed surfaces,  $S_1$ W through  $S_4$ , together with the charges -2Q, Q, and -Q are sketched in Figure P24.11. (The colored lines are the intersections of the surfaces with the page.) Find the electric flux through each surface.
- 12. A charge of 170  $\mu$ C is at the center of a cube of edge 80.0 cm. No other charges are nearby. (a) Find the flux through each face of the flux through e




Figure P24.11

flux through each face of the cube. (b) Find the flux through the whole surface of the cube. (c) **What If?** Would your answers to either part (a) or part (b) change if the charge were not at the center? Explain.

- **13.** In the air over a particular region at an altitude of 500 m above the ground, the electric field is 120 N/C directed downward. At 600 m above the ground, the electric field is 100 N/C downward. What is the average volume charge density in the layer of air between these two elevations? Is it positive or negative?
- 14. A particle with charge of  $12.0 \ \mu$ C is placed at the center of a spherical shell of radius 22.0 cm. What is the total electric flux through (a) the surface of the shell and (b) any hemispherical surface of the shell? (c) Do the results depend on the radius? Explain.

0

+3.00 nC

-8.00 nC

- 15. (a) Find the net electric flux through the cube shown in Figure P24.15. (b) Can you use Gauss's law to find the electric field on the surface of this cube? Explain.
- 16. (a) A particle with charge *q* is located a distance *d* from an infinite plane. Determine the electric flux through the plane due to the charged particle. (b) What If? A particle with charge *q* is located a *very small* distance from the center of a *very large* square on the line perpendicular to the square and going through its center. Determine the approximate electric flux through the square due to the charged particle. (c) How do the answers to parts (a) and (b) compare? Explain.
- 17. An infinitely long line charge having a uniform charge per unit length  $\lambda$  lies a distance *d* from point *O* as shown in Figure P24.17. Determine the total electric flux through the surface of a sphere of radius *R* cen-






Figure P24.17

- tered at *O* resulting from this line charge. Consider both cases, where (a) R < d and (b) R > d.
- 18. Find the net electric flux through (a) the closed spherical surface in a uniform electric field shown in Figure P24.18a and (b) the closed cylindrical surface shown in Figure P24.18b. (c) What can you conclude about the charges, if any, inside the cylindrical surface?






**19.** A particle with charge  $Q = 5.00 \ \mu\text{C}$  is located at the center of a cube of edge  $L = 0.100 \ \text{m}$ . In addition, six other identical charged particles having  $q = -1.00 \ \mu\text{C}$  are positioned symmetrically around Q as shown in Figure P24.19. Determine the electric flux through one face of the cube.



- Problems 19 and 20.
- **20.** A particle with charge

Q is located at the center of a cube of edge L. In addition, six other identical charged particles q are positioned symmetrically around Q as shown in Figure P24.19. For each of these particles, q is a negative number. Determine the electric flux through one face of the cube.

21. A particle with charge Q is located a small distance  $\delta$  immediately above the center of the flat face of a hemisphere of radius R as shown in Figure P24.21. What is the electric flux (a) through the curved surface and (b) through the flat face as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ?



22. Figure P24.22 (page 742) represents the top view of a cubic gaussian surface in a uniform electric field  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$  oriented parallel to the top and bottom faces of the cube. The field makes an angle  $\theta$  with side ①, and the area of each face is *A*. In symbolic form, find the electric flux through (a) face ①, (b) face ②, (c) face ③, (d) face ④, and (e) the top and bottom faces of the cube. (f) What

is the net electric flux through the cube? (g) How much charge is enclosed within the gaussian surface?




Figure P24.22

# Section 24.3 Application of Gauss's Law to Various Charge Distributions


- **23.** In nuclear fission, a nucleus of uranium-238, which contains 92 protons, can divide into two smaller spheres, each having 46 protons and a radius of  $5.90 \times 10^{-15}$  m. What is the magnitude of the repulsive electric force pushing the two spheres apart?
- **24.** The charge per unit length on a long, straight filament is -00.0 wC (m. Find the electric field (a) 10.0 em
- W is −90.0 µC/m. Find the electric field (a) 10.0 cm,
   (b) 20.0 cm, and (c) 100 cm from the filament, where distances are measured perpendicular to the length of the filament.
- **25.** A 10.0-g piece of Styrofoam carries a net charge of  $-0.700 \ \mu$ C and is suspended in equilibrium above the center of a large, horizontal sheet of plastic that has a uniform charge density on its surface. What is the charge per unit area on the plastic sheet?
- **26.** Determine the magnitude of the electric field at the surface of a lead-208 nucleus, which contains 82 protons and 126 neutrons. Assume the lead nucleus has a volume 208 times that of one proton and consider a proton to be a sphere of radius  $1.20 \times 10^{-15}$  m.
- **27.** A large, flat, horizontal sheet of charge has a charge  $\mu$  per unit area of 9.00  $\mu$ C/m<sup>2</sup>. Find the electric field just
- above the middle of the sheet.
- **28.** Suppose you fill two rubber balloons with air, suspend both of them from the same point, and let them hang down on strings of equal length. You then rub each with wool or on your hair so that the balloons hang apart with a noticeable separation between them. Make order-of-magnitude estimates of (a) the force on each, (b) the charge on each, (c) the field each creates at the center of the other, and (d) the total flux of electric field created by each balloon. In your solution, state the quantities you take as data and the values you measure or estimate for them.

**29.** Consider a thin, spherical shell of radius 14.0 cm with a

- M total charge of  $32.0 \ \mu$ C distributed uniformly on its surface. Find the electric field (a) 10.0 cm and (b) 20.0 cm from the center of the charge distribution.
- 30. A nonconducting wall carries charge with a uniform W density of 8.60 μC/cm<sup>2</sup>. (a) What is the electric field 7.00 cm in front of the wall if 7.00 cm is small compared

with the dimensions of the wall? (b) Does your result change as the distance from the wall varies? Explain.

- **31.** A uniformly charged, straight filament 7.00 m in M length has a total positive charge of 2.00  $\mu$ C. An uncharged cardboard cylinder 2.00 cm in length and 10.0 cm in radius surrounds the filament at its center, with the filament as the axis of the cylinder. Using reasonable approximations, find (a) the electric field at the surface of the cylinder and (b) the total electric flux through the cylinder.
- **32.** Assume the magnitude of the electric field on each face of the cube of edge L = 1.00 m in Figure P24.32 is uniform and the directions of the fields on each face are as indicated. Find (a) the net electric flux through the cube and (b) the net charge inside the cube. (c) Could the net charge be a single point charge?



# Figure P24.32


- **33.** Consider a long, cylindrical charge distribution of radius *R* with a uniform charge density  $\rho$ . Find the electric field at distance *r* from the axis, where r < R.
- 34. A cylindrical shell of radius 7.00 cm and length 2.40 m
- W has its charge uniformly distributed on its curved surface. The magnitude of the electric field at a point 19.0 cm radially outward from its axis (measured from the midpoint of the shell) is 36.0 kN/C. Find (a) the net charge on the shell and (b) the electric field at a point 4.00 cm from the axis, measured radially outward from the midpoint of the shell.
- 35. A solid sphere of radius 40.0 cm has a total positive W charge of 26.0 μC uniformly distributed throughout its volume. Calculate the magnitude of the electric field (a) 0 cm, (b) 10.0 cm, (c) 40.0 cm, and (d) 60.0 cm from the center of the sphere.
- **36.** Review. A particle with a charge of -60.0 nC is placed AMT at the center of a nonconducting spherical shell of inner radius 20.0 cm and outer radius 25.0 cm. The spherical shell carries charge with a uniform density of  $-1.33 \ \mu\text{C/m}^3$ . A proton moves in a circular orbit just outside the spherical shell. Calculate the speed of the proton.

# Section 24.4 Conductors in Electrostatic Equilibrium

- **37.** A long, straight metal rod has a radius of 5.00 cm and a M charge per unit length of 30.0 nC/m. Find the electric
- field (a) 3.00 cm, (b) 10.0 cm, and (c) 100 cm from the

axis of the rod, where distances are measured perpendicular to the rod's axis.

**38.** Why is the following E situation impossible? A solid copper sphere of radius 15.0 cm is in electrostatic equilibrium and carries a charge of 40.0 nC. Figure P24.38 shows the magnitude of the electric field as a function of radial position c measured from the central form the central form



*r* measured from the center of the sphere.

- 39. A solid metallic sphere of radius *a* carries total charge
  W *Q*. No other charges are nearby. The electric field just outside its surface is k<sub>e</sub>Q/a<sup>2</sup> radially outward. At this close point, the uniformly charged surface of the sphere looks exactly like a uniform flat sheet of charge. Is the electric field here given by σ/ε<sub>0</sub> or by σ/2ε<sub>0</sub>?
- **40.** A positively charged particle is at a distance *R*/2 from the center of an uncharged thin, conducting, spherical shell of radius *R*. Sketch the electric field lines set up by this arrangement both inside and outside the shell.
- **41.** A very large, thin, flat plate of aluminum of area *A* has a total charge *Q* uniformly distributed over its surfaces. Assuming the same charge is spread uniformly over the *upper* surface of an otherwise identical glass plate, compare the electric fields just above the center of the upper surface of each plate.
- 42. In a certain region of space, the electric field is E = 6.00 × 10<sup>3</sup> x<sup>2</sup> i, where E is in newtons per coulomb and x is in meters. Electric charges in this region are at rest and remain at rest. (a) Find the volume density of electric charge at x = 0.300 m. Suggestion: Apply Gauss's law to a box between x = 0.300 m and x = 0.300 m + dx. (b) Could this region of space be inside a conductor?
- **43.** Two identical conducting spheres each having a radius
- AMT of 0.500 cm are connected by a light, 2.00-m-long conducting wire. A charge of 60.0  $\mu$ C is placed on one of the conductors. Assume the surface distribution of charge on each sphere is uniform. Determine the tension in the wire.
- 44. A square plate of copper with 50.0-cm sides has no net charge and is placed in a region of uniform electric field of 80.0 kN/C directed perpendicularly to the plate. Find (a) the charge density of each face of the plate and (b) the total charge on each face.
- 45. A long, straight wire is surrounded by a hollow metal cylinder whose axis coincides with that of the wire. The wire has a charge per unit length of λ, and the cylinder has a net charge per unit length of 2λ. From this information, use Gauss's law to find (a) the charge per unit length on the inner surface of the cylinder, (b) the charge per unit length on the outer surface of the cylinder, and (c) the electric field outside the cylinder a distance *r* from the axis.
- **46.** A thin, square, conducting plate 50.0 cm on a side lies **M** in the *xy* plane. A total charge of  $4.00 \times 10^{-8}$  C is placed

on the plate. Find (a) the charge density on each face of the plate, (b) the electric field just above the plate, and (c) the electric field just below the plate. You may assume the charge density is uniform.

47. A solid conducting sphere of radius 2.00 cm has a Charge of 8.00 μC. A conducting spherical shell of inner radius 4.00 cm and outer radius 5.00 cm is concentric with the solid sphere and has a charge of -4.00 μC. Find the electric field at (a) r = 1.00 cm, (b) r = 3.00 cm, (c) r = 4.50 cm, and (d) r = 7.00 cm from the center of this charge configuration.

#### **Additional Problems**

**48.** Consider a plane surface in a uniform electric field as in Figure P24.48, where d = 15.0 cm and  $\theta = 70.0^{\circ}$ . If the net flux through the surface is 6.00 N  $\cdot$  m<sup>2</sup>/C, find the magnitude of the electric field.





Figure P24.48 Problems 48 and 49.

- **49.** Find the electric flux through Problems 48 and 49. the plane surface shown in Figure P24.48 if  $\theta = 60.0^\circ$ , E = 350 N/C, and d = 5.00 cm. The electric field is uniform over the entire area of the surface.
- 50. A hollow, metallic, spherical shell has exterior radius 0.750 m, carries no net charge, and is supported on an insulating stand. The electric field everywhere just outside its surface is 890 N/C radially toward the center of the sphere. Explain what you can conclude about (a) the amount of charge on the exterior surface of the sphere and the distribution of this charge, (b) the amount of charge on the interior surface of the sphere and its distribution, and (c) the amount of charge inside the shell and its distribution.
- **51.** A sphere of radius R = 1.00 m surrounds a particle with charge  $Q = 50.0 \ \mu\text{C}$  located at its center as shown in Figure P24.51. Find the electric flux through a circular cap of half-angle  $\theta = 45.0^{\circ}$ .
- **52.** A sphere of radius R surrounds a particle with charge Q located at its center as shown in Figure P24.51. Find the electric flux through a circular cap of halfangle  $\theta$ .






**53.** A very large conducting plate lying in the *xy* plane carries a charge per unit area of  $\sigma$ . A second such plate located above the first plate at  $z = z_0$  and oriented parallel to the *xy* plane carries a charge per unit area of  $-2\sigma$ . Find the electric field for (a) z < 0, (b)  $0 < z < z_0$ , and (c)  $z > z_0$ .

54. A solid, insulating sphere of radius *a* has a uniform GP charge density throughout its volume and a total charge *Q*. Concentric with this sphere is an uncharged, conducting, hollow sphere whose inner and outer radii are *b* and *c* as shown in Figure P24.54 (page 744). We wish to

understand completely the charges and electric fields at all locations. (a) Find the charge contained within a sphere of radius r < a. (b) From this value, find the magnitude of the electric field for r < a. (c) What charge is contained within a sphere of radius r when a < r < b? (d) From this value, find the magnitude of the electric field for r when a < r < b. (e) Now consider r when b < r < c. What is the magnitude of the electric field for this range of values of r? (f) From this value, what must be the charge on the inner surface of the hollow sphere?

(g) From part (f), what must be the charge on the outer surface of the hollow sphere? (h) Consider the three spherical surfaces of radii a, b, and c. Which of these surfaces has the largest magnitude of surface charge density?



Problems 54, 55, and 57.

- **55.** A solid insulating sphere of radius a = 5.00 cm carries a net positive charge of  $Q = 3.00 \ \mu\text{C}$  uniformly distributed throughout its volume. Concentric with this sphere is a conducting spherical shell with inner radius b = 10.0 cm and outer radius c = 15.0 cm as shown in Figure P24.54, having net charge  $q = -1.00 \ \mu\text{C}$ . Prepare a graph of the magnitude of the electric field due to this configuration versus r for 0 < r < 25.0 cm.
- 56. Two infinite, nonconducting sheets of charge are parallel to each other as shown in Figure P24.56. The sheet on the left has a uniform surface charge density  $\sigma$ , and the one on the right has a uniform charge density  $-\sigma$ . Calculate the electric field at points (a) to the left of, (b) in between, and (c) to the right of the two sheets. (d) What If? Find the




Figure P24.56

electric fields in all three regions if both sheets have *positive* uniform surface charge densities of value  $\sigma$ .

- 57. For the configuration shown in Figure P24.54, suppose a = 5.00 cm, b = 20.0 cm, and c = 25.0 cm. Furthermore, suppose the electric field at a point 10.0 cm from the center is measured to be  $3.60 \times 10^3$  N/C radially inward and the electric field at a point 50.0 cm from the center is of magnitude 200 N/C and points radially outward. From this information, find (a) the charge on the insulating sphere, (b) the net charge on the inner surface of the hollow conducting sphere, and (d) the charge on the outer surface of the hollow conducting sphere.
- **58.** An insulating solid sphere of radius *a* has a uniform volume charge density and carries a total positive charge *Q*. A spherical gaussian surface of radius *r*, which shares a common center with the insulating sphere, is inflated starting from r = 0. (a) Find an expression for the electric flux passing through the surface of the gaussian sphere as a function of *r* for r < a. (b) Find an expression for the electric flux for r > a. (c) Plot the flux versus *r*.

- **59.** A uniformly charged spherical shell with positive surface charge density  $\sigma$  contains a circular hole in its surface. The radius *r* of the hole is small compared with the radius *R* of the sphere. What is the electric field at the center of the hole? *Suggestion:* This problem can be solved by using the principle of superposition.
- 60. An infinitely long, cylindrical, insulating shell of inner radius *a* and outer radius *b* has a uniform volume charge density *ρ*. A line of uniform linear charge density *λ* is placed along the axis of the shell. Determine the electric field for (a) *r* < *a*, (b) *a* < *r* < *b*, and (c) *r* > *b*.

## **Challenge Problems**

61. A slab of insulating material has a nonuniform positive charge density  $\rho = Cx^2$ , where x is measured from the center of the slab as shown in Figure P24.61 and C is a constant. The slab is infinite in the y and z directions. Derive expressions for the electric field in (a) the exterior regions (|x| > d/2) and (b) the interior region of the slab (-d/2 < x < d/2).




Figure P24.61 Problems 61 and 69.

**62. Review.** An early (incorrect) **AMT** model of the hydrogen atom,

suggested by J. J. Thomson, proposed that a positive cloud of charge +e was uniformly distributed throughout the volume of a sphere of radius R, with the electron (an equal-magnitude negatively charged particle -e) at the center. (a) Using Gauss's law, show that the electron would be in equilibrium at the center and, if displaced from the center a distance r < R, would experience a restoring force of the form F = -Kr, where K is a constant. (b) Show that K = $k_{*}e^{2}/R^{3}$ . (c) Find an expression for the frequency f of simple harmonic oscillations that an electron of mass  $m_e$  would undergo if displaced a small distance (< R) from the center and released. (d) Calculate a numerical value for R that would result in a frequency of  $2.47 \times 10^{15}$  Hz, the frequency of the light radiated in the most intense line in the hydrogen spectrum.

**63.** A closed surface with dimensions a = b = 0.400 m and c = 0.600 m is located as shown in Figure P24.63. The left edge of the closed surface is located at position x = a. The electric field throughout the region is non-uniform and is given by  $\vec{\mathbf{E}} = (3.00 + 2.00x^2)\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  N/C, where x is in meters. (a) Calculate the net electric flux




Figure P24.63

64. A sphere of radius 2*a* is made of a nonconducting material that has a uniform volume charge density  $\rho$ . Assume the material does not affect the electric field. A spherical cavity of radius a is now removed from the sphere as shown in Figure P24.64. Show that the electric field within the cavity is uniform and is given by  $E_x = 0$  and  $E_y = \rho a/3\epsilon_0$ .




Figure P24.64


- 65. A spherically symmetric charge distribution has a charge density given by  $\rho = a/r$ , where a is constant. Find the electric field within the charge distribution as a function of r. Note: The volume element dV for a spherical shell of radius r and thickness dr is equal to  $4\pi r^2 dr$ .
- **66.** A solid insulating sphere of radius R has a nonuniform charge density that varies with r according to the expression  $\rho = Ar^2$ , where A is a constant and r < R is measured from the center of the sphere. (a) Show that the magnitude of the electric field outside (r > R) the sphere is  $E = AR^5/5\epsilon_0 r^2$ . (b) Show that the magnitude of the electric field inside (r < R)the sphere is  $E = Ar^3/5\epsilon_0$ . Note: The volume element dV for a spherical shell of radius r and thickness dr is equal to  $4\pi r^2 dr$ .

67. An infinitely long insulating cylinder of radius *R* has a volume charge density that varies with the radius as

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left( a - \frac{r}{b} \right)$$

where  $\rho_0$ , *a*, and *b* are positive constants and *r* is the distance from the axis of the cylinder. Use Gauss's law to determine the magnitude of the electric field at radial distances (a) r < R and (b) r > R.

**68.** A particle with charge *Q* is located on the axis of a circle of radius R at a distance b from the plane of the circle (Fig. P24.68). Show that if one-fourth of the electric flux from the charge passes through the circle, then  $R = \sqrt{3}b$ .





69. Review. A slab of insulating material (infinite in the y and z directions) has a thickness d and a uni-

form positive charge density  $\rho$ . An edge view of the slab is shown in Figure P24.61. (a) Show that the magnitude of the electric field a distance x from its center and inside the slab is  $E = \rho x / \epsilon_0$ . (b) What If? Suppose an electron of charge -e and mass  $m_e$  can move freely within the slab. It is released from rest at a distance xfrom the center. Show that the electron exhibits simple harmonic motion with a frequency

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\rho e}{m_e \epsilon_0}}$$